Previous

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 193 5-36 (Mysore) Mig File No. 27 8-35 Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for April 1936

SUBJECT.

Management of Sri Kasi Visweswara Swami Temple, Bale Attikuppe, Seringapatam Taluk

---

No 1368-71 dt 30 3-36

To

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

The M C
H R C
H Comptr
H D I Mysore

for information.

Govt Central

Serial No.

From the D.C's report it will be seen that none of the conditions on which the management of the Institution was ordered to be restored to the Managers thereof is being fulfilled. He therefore recommends that the Temple and the inam village endowed to it may be taken under Govt management. The M.C requests sanction to this proposal

Submitted for orders

8/11/9

The recommendation of the D.C. ~~agree~~ supported by the Govt. Next put up to take over the management of Sri Kasi Viswanathaswami Temple & the lands endowed thereto may be sanctioned in the Govt. Order

Gen/Secy

Is it necessary to issue notice to parties & hear them before passing orders?

24/9

OFFICIATING SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 23 SEPT. 1935 No. 1579

19 SEP 549

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0591—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

2

File No. of 1935. General and Revenue Secretariat

6) A perusal of the records below, shows that the M.C has not examined the parties concerned, and he has recommended the management of the Institution and the endowed property being taken under Govt control, on the report of the V.D.O supported by the D.C alone.

7) The parties appear to have a right to be heard by Govt before being deprived of their management of the Institution.

8) Reference: paras 4-5 supra

9) Please bring up

29/10

BS 23/10

BS

In person BS 20/11/35

Letter No C214/35-6 Dt 6.12.35

From the M.C in charge

In continuation of his letter dated the 27-8-1935, forwards a petition from some of the residents of Kala Attikuppe in the matter.

10) The petitioners have represented that the management of the Institution should be resumed to Government in the interests of the Institution and

Serial No.

of the devotees of the temple.

11 The Temple in question is a village Munsipal institution endowed with the whole village of Athikuppe for its upkeep. The village had about 346 acres of land bearing an assessment of Rs 503 per annum. ~~The~~ The management of the institution ~~has been entrusted~~ was entrusted to the Inamdars themselves. As the affairs of the Temple were not being properly managed at the time, its management was assumed by Government in G.O. No 2207-9/Munc.F. 162-10-11 dated 15th March 1911. ~~Later on it~~ Later on it was restored to the Inamdars under the G.O dated 4.6.1915, subject to the following conditions (i) that a scale of expenditure should be fixed (ii) that regular accounts should be maintained (iii) that the ..... should be kept in good repairs and (iv) that pooja etc in the Temple should be properly ~~managed~~ conducted.

12 It is now reported after enquiry that there has been gross mismanagement of the institution and of its income since the endowed village. The present case for resumption of the management of the Temple

In the first instance

Destroyed

V

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03591—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

Plaint File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

and of the Dewan Bilaye endorsed thereto, is not in appeal under Section 38 of the Regulation nor is it in Revision under Section 39 thereof, to give the party concerned, a right of being heard by Government. The case is one falling within the purview of Section 18 of the Regulation and the Government may pass such orders as they may deem fit in the circumstances of the case, without hearing the party.

13) With reference to para 4 supra it is submitted that there is no Statutory obligation necessitating the issue of a notice to the parties concerned.

14) With reference to para 5 supra it is submitted that the parties have not been heard by the Municipal Commissioner

15 I Members attention is drawn to the letter referred to in para 4 supra.

12/3/36

12 MAR 1936 360

16 The recommn. may be accepted and orders issued under Sec 18 of the Regn.

Gen: Secy

First Member

13/3

14/3

17

14/3

16/3 & 16/3

20/3

Serial No.

187 Adhabt G.o Sandy in Pudukk

I cannot make out the Corrections made by the Supt. Supt will please explain

25/3/36

The file has been submitted as per orders of the Asst. Secretary and the corrections made in the fair draft explained.

26.3.36.

26 MAR. 1936 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Muz 228-35-1

M C 13.]
No. 211/35-36
Eve Records

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 25th August *1935.*

27

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SECRETARIAT
29 AUG 1935

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Management of Sri Kasi Visveswaraswami Temple,
Bale Attikuppe, Seringapatam Taluk.

Adverting to G.O.No.3076/Muz.633-10-6 dated 4th June 1913 ordering the restoration of the above to the Managers on certain conditions, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H.6/35 dated 8th August 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the conditions set forth in the Government Order have not been fulfilled, and recommending that the management may be taken under Government control.

In view of the violation of the conditions under which the village and the temple were restored, sanction of Government may be accorded to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner.

The records are forwarded herewith for favour of reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.6/35 dated 8-8-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Management - Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy Temple - Bale Attikuppe - Seringapatam Taluk.

With reference to G.O.No.3076/Muz.633-10-6 dated 4th June 1918 sanctioning the restoration of the management of the Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy temple with its endowed Devadaya inam village of Bale Attikuppe, Seringapatam Taluk, to Nanjamma and Chennappa, I have the honor to state that none of the conditions referred to in the above Government Order is being fulfilled. No regular scale of expenditure has been fixed and no accounts are being furnished by the Managers to the Taluk Office. The building is not being repaired periodically, and puja is not being conducted in a befitting manner.

2. The Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk, who has made an enquiry in the matter has submitted a detailed report to the effect that the conditions under which the management of the temple and the endowed village was restored to the managers, are not being carried out, that no accounts have been maintained by them, that the amount that is being paid to the archak for the so called daily worship, bears no proportion to the present jamabandy of the inam village that the present managers, Ladaiya and Nanjappa, seem to think that they are the inamdars of the village and not the temple, that there are complaints from the villagers about the existing management, and that the building is not also maintained in proper condition. The Amildar therefore recommends that the temple and the endowed inam village may be taken under the management of Government. The Special Amildar who has observed the affairs of this institution during 1931, has also reported to the same effect.

3. The Sub Division Officer reports that as there has been mismanagement of the institution and its income from the endowed village, sanction may be obtained to the proposal of the Amildar.

4. I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for taking over the management of the temple and the endowed village.

5. The connected four files of records on the subject are submitted herewith

---

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

No C 214/35-6
Enc. 1

8

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 3rd December ..... 1935.

FROM K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
~~N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General ..... *Department*

SIR,

Management of Sri Visveswara Swami Temple,
Bale Attiguppe, Seringapatam Taluk.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.214/35-36 dated 27th August 1935 re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a petition from some of the residents of Bale Attiguppe on the above subject and to request the favour of early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K V Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R S. App. F VI—(G 2)

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 30-3- ...1936.

Signed by H Y B Muddu...

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Section Mucy

File No. 228-35

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G.O. No 2207-9 / Mucy. F. 162-10-11 dated 1.3.1911 assuming to Government, the management of the Sri Kasi Vishweshwaraswami Temple of Bale Atti Kuppe ~~(Kattavanagya village)~~ Hirode Hobli, Seriya

Order thereon.—No. Satara Taluk, with ~~is endowed~~ near village endowed with it, the 24 3077 G.O. No 2207-9 / Mucy. F. ~~M~~ 633-10-7 dated 4.6.1908 restoring management of the above institution to the ~~Mukhasthas~~ with its endowed property to the mukhasthas themselves Subject to certain conditions

37) Correspondence ending with
letter No 214/35-36 dated 27.8.1935 —
from the D.C. Ellore proposing that the
institution and ~~its~~ endowment may be taken under govt: control
under Order 136 of 1871 — dated 30-3-36
~~stating that there has been~~ It is reported that there has been
mismanagement in the above
~~institution and its income~~
~~from the endowed villages~~, and that
the conditions under which the
temple and the villages were restored
to the inamdars have been violated ~~and~~
~~and~~ requesting sanction to the
proposal of the D.C., Ellore., to
~~that~~ the management of the same being taken over by
~~may be taken under~~ Govt: control.

~~Copy~~

It is reported that the conditions
under which the management of the
temple and the endowed villages
was restored to the inamdars,
are not being fulfilled, that
no accounts are maintained
by them. ~~That~~ The amount that is
being paid to the archaka for

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the so called daily worship because no provision to the present inhabitants of the indian village. ~~West~~ The present managers seem to think that they are the inhabitants of the village and not the people. ~~West~~ There are complaints the villagers about the existing management ~~and West~~ The buildings is not also maintained in proper condition. The D.C agrees with the M.C ~~Kodur Proposal~~ & therefore ~~he~~ recommends that sanction may be accorded for taking the management of the temple and the endowed village under Government control. ~~and the D.C proposals~~ are pleased to sanction Govt ~~accepts the recommendation~~ of the M.C and the proposals (under Section 18 of the Hindu R.E. and C.B. Act XII of 1951) direct that the temple with its endowed village be taken under their management

WD 0436—GPB—10,000—17-10-33

The M.C is Requested to take action Accordingly and Report the Result to Government-

2/26/36

W Govt Secretary

DK 2/3

to M.C.

The M.C list reads

" R.S. Wolfe

" Coupe

" D.C. Mysore -

e a d -

Government order No. [illegible] dated [illegible] March 1911 assuming to Government the management of [illegible] were granted to temples of Sivaperumalkoil, Tiruvarur, Tiruvoi Vobli, Seringapatam Taluk with the [illegible] villages [illegible]

2. Government order No. 3077/[illegible] dated [illegible] June 1918 restoring [illegible] endowed property to the inamdars [illegible] conditions.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. [illegible] dated 4th December 1935 from the Muzrai [illegible] that the institution and its endowments may be taken under Government control.

.....

Order No. 1368-71/Muz 228-35-2

[illegible], Tuesday, the 30th [illegible]

It is reported that there is [illegible] Institution and that the conditions under which [illegible] village were restored to the [illegible] accounts are maintained by them. It is [illegible] the archaka for the so called [illegible] the present jamabandy of the [illegible] seem to think that they are the [illegible] temple. There are complaints of [illegible] management. The building is [illegible] The Muzrai Commissioner [illegible] District therefore recommends [illegible] the management of the temple and [illegible] control.

Government are pleased to sanction [illegible] Commissioner and direct that [illegible] and Charitable Institutions [illegible] its endowed village be taken under [illegible]

The Muzrai Commissioner [illegible]

and report the result to Government.

For Governor

The Special Commissioner in charge with relation,

The Revenue Commissioner in charge,

The Comptroller to Government,

The Deputy Commissioner, Lyallpur is invited.